

Appl. No. 10/791,589
Amendment dated October 20, 2006
Response to Office Action of September 25, 2006

REMARKS


Applicant's assignee has responded to the requirement for restriction made in the Office Action mailed September 25, 2006, and requests further substantive examination in the application. In particular, applicant's assignee has elected species I depicted in FIGS. 1-25. Claims 2-8, 10-11, and 15-33, all of the claims remaining in the application, all read on the elected species.

All of the remaining claims except claims 9 and 28-33 read on the elected species.

It is submitted that claims 2-8, 10-11, and 15-27 are generic, and that newly added claims 28-33 depend from generic claims. While claims 28-33 read on the non-elected species they are entitled to examination if the generic claims from which they depend are found to be allowable.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider the remarks accompanying the Amendment filed March 7, 2006, and in light of the foregoing remarks and amendments, the Examiner is requested to reexamine the application, to allow claims 2-9, 10-11, and 15-33, all of the claims remaining in the application, and to pass the application on promptly to issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald B. Haslett
Attorney for Applicants' Assignee
Reg. No. 28,855
Tel No.: (503) 227-5631
Fax No.: (503) 228-4373